

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/763,768	QUALLICH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian J. Davis	1621

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Brian J. Davis.

(3) _____.

(2) A. David Jordan.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 January 2006

Time: :-

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

claim 21

Prior art documents discussed:

none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

In response to an internal PTO print query, it was confirmed with Mr. Jordan that claim 21 should have been canceled during the course of prosecution, since it pertains to a salt that has been excluded from the claims during prosecution.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


BRIAN DAVIS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)